FOR MYSORE STATE

REV (1952) Department.

Passed

RT SERIES.

G.O. NO. 419

Dated 9-7-1952

[Despatch Abstract.]

Public Servants - (South Kanara) Manjeshwar, Sri D. B. - Confirmation as examiner of Copies - Cancellation - ~~Order~~ Reconsideration of orders in GO Ms. No. 667 Rev dated 7.3.52 - Petition - Rejected

Revenue Department.
Subject.

Public Servants - (South Kanara) - Copyists and Examiners - Vasudeva Naik. Sri V. - Copyist - Taluk Office, Mangalore - Confirmation as examiner of copies - Petition from Sri A.B.Manjeshwar submitted.

33840 G
26 MAY 1952
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Station: Madras. L.Dis.**No.** Z.2959/52. **Dated** 20-5-**1952.**

From

Sri A.F.Mascarenhas, IAS., Secretary,
Board of Revenue.,
Sri V.R.S.Sattianathan, IAS., Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Read:- i) Petition from Sri A.B.Manjeshwar dated 16-4-52.
ii) South Kanara Collector's L.Dis.11759-52 dated 8-5-52.

Ref:.

Board's Rt.No.1898 (c) dated 24-3-52.

I enclose a petition received from Sri A.B.Manjeshwar Copyist, Taluk Office, Mangalore addressed to the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Madras, against the orders passed in G.O.Ms.No.667, Revenue, dated 7-3-1952.

I have no remarks to offer.

Sd. x x
For Collector.

---

p.t.o.

L.DIS:

Submitted to Government.

2/ The Board invites the attention of the Government to G.O.Ms.No.667 (Rev.) dated 7-3-52.

For Secretary.

To The Secretary to Government, Revenue Dept., with the petition, (in original).

k.t.a.20/5.



MANGALORE

dated 16-4-1952.

The Secretary to the Government

Revenue Department,

Fort St. George, Madras.

Through

The Proper Channel

Petition from Sri A.B.Manjeshwar
Copyist Taluk Office, Mangalore.
S.K.

Sir,

Being highly aggrieved by G.O.NO. 667 Rev. dated 7-3-1952, directing the reversion of the petitioner from the post of the Examiner of copies to that of the copyist in the Taluk Office, Mangalore, the petitioner most humbly and respectfully begs to lay the following facts for the sympathatic consideration of the Government and favourable orders.

2. That the petitioner was first appointed as copyist in December 1939 in a short vacancy and was then working as a process Amin for about 3½ months in the Taluk Office Mangalore. He was again working as copyist in the Taluk Office Mangalore from 25-4-41 to 7-6-1942, when he was deputed to the A.R.P. duties which lasted from 8-6-42 to 17-3-43 and in continuation he was enroled as Havildar clerk in the army on 18-3-43 and was discharged on 4-6-1947 from Military service.

3. That a kind perusal of the Military discharge certificate granted to the petitioner will show that

he was awarded war medal , Burma star and 1939-45 star and of the other certificates produced by him before the Collector of South Kanara will also show that he is not wanting in charactor, merits or ability.

4. That when the post of Examiner of copies in the Taluk Office Mangalore fell permanently vacant owing to the de-th of the permanent incumbent the petitioner was then holding a permanent post of the copyist in the Taluk Office ,Mangalore did apply for the post on 1-7-49. In the ordinary course the petitioner should have been confirmed as the permanent Examiner of Copies in the vacancy without prejudice to the post of Havildar clerk in the Army.

5. That the mere fact that Sri U.Vasudeva Naik an outsider happened to be officiating as the temporary Examiner of co ies at the time when the petitoner was in the active service does not, the petitioner humbly submits , give him preferance over the petitioner in the circumstances explained above.

6. That in the Revenue Department the post of the Examiner of copies is included in the copyist establishment and instances where a copyist was promoted as the examiner of copies are not wanting . The petitioner submits that the position of the two catagories is quite different only in the Judicial Department, where the post of a copyist has been recently made pensionable like that of a Reader, who examines the co ies.

7. That the petitioner submits that had it not been for his active service in the theatre of war, he would have been a pointed as examiner of copies

when the vacancy arose, and that he should not be punished by denying the promotion from the copyist's post to the Examiner's for his absence from the station on war service.

The petitioner therefore most humbly prays that in the peculiar circumstances stated above the Government may be pleased to view the petitioner's case sympathetically and revise the orders passed in G.O. NO. 667 Rev. dated 7-3-1952 and appoint the petitioner as the Examiner of copies in the permanent vacancy.

Rule 18 C r. 3(a)

Your Most Obedient Servant

A. Mangalorean
16. 4. 52.



Submitted to the Secretary to the Government, Revenue Department, Madras (direct)

N.Dis. 3338/52.

To Submitted Revenue Divisional Officer, Mangalore.-

Taluk Office Mangalore
Dated. 16.4.52

16/4/52

N.Dis 3166/52

Submitted to the Collector of South Kanara, Mangalore.

2/- In his petition dated 16-4-1952 Sri. A. R. Mangalorean, Copyist, Taluk Office Mangalore, submits that he is much aggrieved by G.O. Ms. No. 667. Rev. dated

Rule 18 C r. 3(a)

P.T.O.

7.3.52 directing him to revert from
the post of the examiner of Copies
to that of the Copyist in the Intelle
office; Mangalore Rao prays for
appointing him as Examiner in the
permanent vacancy. The Government
Have already exercised the various powers
vested by the petitioner and directed
passed orders setting aside the order
of the Collector in RDis 21565/49 dated
30.6.1950. I have therefore no
remarks to offer and consider the petition
may be allowed & Govt. may
direct accordingly. Rev. Divisional office
Rep. Note dtd 2 Nos
in duplicate
by the 9 th ppty
on party enclosing
Mr. C.M.

11759/52

7

(C. No. 33847 G/52)

Revenue Department.

(A) G.O. Ms. No. 667 Rev. dated 7.3.1952

Read: From Sri A. B. Manjeshwar, petition, dated 16.4.1952

From the Board of Revenue, ~~Reference~~ No. L.Dis.Z.2959/52 dated 20.5.1952

G.O. Rt. No. 419 dated 9-7-52

The Government are not prepared to interfere on behalf of the petitioner. The petition is rejected.

To the petitioner, through the Board of Revenue (L.R.)

Copy to the Board of Revenue (L.R.) ~~+ the L.F.~~

Rev. Depr

(C. No. 33847 G/52) Public Servants - (South Kanara) - Manjeshwar, Sri D.B. - Confirmation as examiner of Copies Cancellation - Orders in G.O. No. 667 Rev. dated 7.3.52 - Request. - Petition

From Sri D.B. Manjeshwar, Petn. dated 16.4.52
From the Bd. of Rev., No. L.Dis. Z-2959/52 D/20.5.52

To ds

Please see the petition and the references of the Clr. of South Kanara and the Board of Revenue thereon in the C.F. For a previous history of the case, attention is solicited to the notes on pages 8-10 N.F and the order at page 18 C.F. of G.O. dis. No. 667 Rev. dated 7.3.1952. Sri D.B. Manjeshwar whose permanent appointment as examiner of Copies was ordered to be set aside in the above G.O., has subd. this present petn. to Govt. The only point urged by him is that ~~while the vacancy of the examiner occurred he was on~~ in the ordinary course he should have been confirmed as the permanent Examiner of Copies in the vacancy without prejudice to his post of Havildar clerk in the Army. The permanent vacancy occurred on the death of the permanent incumbent, Janab M. A. Wahab who was on leave from 15.11.48. Sri U. Vasudeva Naik, whose claims were upheld by the Govt., was working as an acting examiner from that date. The petitioner, according to his own statement, was discharged from the military service on 4.6.1947, and was at the time of the vacancy continuing as a permanent Copyist in the taluk office, Mangalore. In the circumstances the argument at A above does not appear to be sound. Correct The merits of the case have already been fully examined by Govt. when they passed the orders in G.O. dis. No. 667 Rev. dated 7.3.52 - vide the notes on pp 8-10 N.F. of the G.O. at (A). The petition contains no points that justify a revision of the above orders. For orders whether the petition may be rejected

X - See notes page 6

Pub (Ser) may see before circulation to M (L.R) G.O. No. 3384 7 G/52

(A)

11.6.52

Please see the orders at p. 18 C of G.O. No 667 Rev., d. 7.3.52 which are now appealed against. The petn does not contest any of the assessments but goes and has according to the case for a revision of those orders. He may therefore be rejected.

Pub (Ser) may see before circulation

16.6.52

Pub (Ser) Dept

Pub (Ser)

May be perused and returned to Rev.

Rev. No. 3384 76/52-1

18.6.52

Rev.

26/6/52

28.6.52

Rev. Dept

27.6.52

To d.s.

The notes from page 1 ante may be read. A draft order is subd. for approval. May be circulated to M. (L.R) for approval of the draft.

3.7.52

3782

3/7/52

4/7

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
2875
26 JUN 1952
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT

Pub (Ser) No. 2875/52-1

28.6.52

63911 G

M. (L.R) in A. Memorandum

7.7.52

SECRETARIAT
7 JUL 1952
REVENUE DEPARTMENT